



Venezuela's disputed President Nicolás Maduro carries the army commander's baton 24 June 2017 as he arrives for Army Day celebrations at Forte Tiuna in Caracas, Venezuela. Maduro joined the army celebration while thousands marched in the streets of the capital to demand restraint from government security forces after more than seventy people had been killed during almost ninety days of protests seeking his removal. (Photo by Fernando Llano, Associated Press)

Venezuela in Light of Anti-American Parties and Affiliations in Latin America

Lt. Col. Geoff Demarest, JD, PhD, U.S. Army, Retired

As the economy in Venezuela collapsed over the course of the last several years, media coverage of the situation increasingly focused on the steep decline in living standards of the general population, the resulting misery, and the emergence of mass refugee flows to neighboring countries. Correspondingly, the media broadcast many voices and a multiplicity of opinions regarding how the United States and other governments should respond. The ruling socialist regime in Caracas (hereinafter, Bolivarian) has been reasonably blamed for the tragedy, leading some of the more assertive opinions to call for senior Venezuelan military leaders to abandon obedience to Nicolás Maduro as their chief executive and instead align their loyalty to the regime's political opposition, which has gained a compelling share of formal internal and external recognition. Although there have been localized mutinies, the military services in general remained notably unresponsive. The central reason for this unresponsiveness is that the senior military leadership is part of the same corrupt hierarchy that caused the catastrophe. Recently, humanitarian concerns among foreign political leaders, especially those of South American countries, resulted in diplomatic measures being taken against the Maduro regime. The hope was apparently to force a regime change by isolating the Maduro administration diplomatically, by strangling its finances, and therewith, perhaps, by instigating an internal coup of some kind. However, to the general mystification of the media and pundits alike, Maduro showed sufficient political resilience to maintain his position within the Bolivarian hierarchy.

Adding to the complexity and danger of the situation was the direct presence of Russian military forces, with small military contingents deployed to Venezuela. Russian presence operations have also included the periodic visitation of nuclear-weapons-capable aircraft and large warships, the overall intention evidently being to undergird the Bolivarian dictatorship and its resolve.

The prevailing sense of confusion in the media emanates in part from a convergence of (1) inadequate consideration of Venezuela's place in the greater circum-Caribbean context and (2) wishful thinking regarding the actual vulnerabilities of the Maduro dictatorship. Bolivarian rule, if not Maduro's role in it, has been all but impervious to a military coup as such. The military senior leadership is broadly co-opted into the greater regime's criminal enterprise, if not its ideology, guided by a large

Cuban presence funded in turn by the Russians, Chinese, Turks, Iranians, and others.

As a result, the notion of a military coup has had far less effective meaning than has been accorded to it, not just because there is no incentive for a coup, but because a replacement of Maduro and his cronies by any of Venezuela's current senior military leaders would likely be no more than self-coup theatrics by the same organizational hierarchy. Venezuelan army generals remain materially secure because of lucrative relationships with illicit drug and other transnational criminal enterprises. They have also been allowed to arrange for safe places for their families to live—contingent, of course, on their loyalties. Moreover, their position is enhanced by their control of weapons, of which the opposition has none. (However, that the loyalties of senior Bolivarian military leaders is maintained by material benefits does constitute a vulnerability.) One might assume that some of these leaders could be swayed to betray their Bolivarian masters if offered an alternative package of positive material benefits, but such an offer only addresses one half of an “offer he can't refuse.”

It is particularly disconcerting that, even if the opposition were to successfully oust the current Bolivarian regime as led by Maduro, maybe 15 percent of a population of about twenty-seven million might remain loyal or obedient to Bolivarian party leadership. Accordingly, the aftermath of a successful overthrow of the dictatorship could present a residual and persistent armed struggle.

While certainly an improvement, a simple overthrow would not preclude the unfortunate continuation of the regional irregular war that has been going on for decades, frustrating efforts in neighboring countries Colombia and Brazil to resolve their own security issues. Colombia's fifty-year war against the Revolutionary Armed Forces of Colombia (*Fuerzas Armadas Revolucionarias de Colombia-Ejército del*

Lt. Col. Geoffrey Demarest, U.S. Army, retired, holds an MSS from the Army War College, a JD and a PhD in international studies from Denver University, and a PhD in geography from the University of Kansas. After a period of practicing law in Colorado, he has since 2000 been the senior Ibero-America researcher at the Army's Foreign Military Studies Office. He has lived and traveled extensively in Latin America.


Pueblo, or FARC-EP, hereafter referred to as FARC) should serve to dampen hopes of some complete defeat of leftist military power, or the attainment of complete civil rest. The FARC war was never confined to Colombia. The current Venezuela problem, in other words, is in no way confined to Venezuela, nor will it be. It is also not going to be satisfactorily addressed without admitting the full geographic scope of the war, clearly naming those organizational entities that are culpable, and bringing coercive pressure to bear directly against them.

In an effort to help the reader gain a better understanding of the intertwined forces negatively affecting Venezuela and the entire region, this article identifies a malign network of diverse organizations that exists in Latin America and provides a series of observations that illuminate how the organizations in that network relate to each other. It is intended to unravel some unnecessary complications in the analysis of dangers and prospects in northern South America and the Caribbean. Hopefully, it will inform reader



Venezuela's disputed President Nicolás Maduro (left) joins the president of the Venezuelan National Constituent Assembly, Diosdado Cabello, to celebrate the two hundredth chapter of the "Con el Mazo Dando" program 12 April 2018 on set in Caracas, Venezuela. Cabello is host of the program that is broadcast on the Venezuelan state channel, Venezolana de Televisión. (Photo courtesy of the Bolivarian Government of Venezuela)

Former senior Venezuelan general Diosdado Cabello is widely reported to be the current head of the Cartel of the Suns, a criminal drug-trafficking syndicate made up of senior Venezuelan generals who work closely with the Colombian drug cartels that reputedly have insinuated themselves into all branches of the Venezuelan armed forces and National Guard. The Cartel of the Suns reportedly originated in the 1990s among junior military officers working at the Colombian-Venezuela border who became involved in drug trafficking by initially taking bribes and assisting drug cartels with smuggling operations, and then expanding their scope of operations as they moved up in rank. Today, this syndicate ostensibly has on the payroll virtually all two thousand senior leaders of the military together with many former military leaders and civilian officials now working in civilian government administration. One asserted result of the military's deep involvement in extremely lucrative drug-trafficking relationships is that there is little incentive for senior military leaders to abandon the current status quo in support of a change in government leadership. As a result, Cabello has emerged to be one of the most powerful political figures in Venezuela, perhaps having more actual power over the armed forces than Venezuela's disputed President Nicolás Maduro himself. As a result, some observers assert that Cabello and the Cartel of the Suns are so deeply entrenched in Venezuela's civil administration that they will remain in place even if Maduro is forced to leave office. For detailed press reports on the reputed Venezuelan kleptocracy, visit <https://www.insightcrime.org/investigations/venezuela-mafia-state/> and https://www.vice.com/en_us/article/yw8e4y/venezuelas-government-is-so-corrupt-it-basically-has-its-own-cartel.



(Graphic by author)

Figure. Interrelated Political Parties and International Organizations of Latin America

perspectives as they consider various calls to action, whether internal or external.

The Anti-American Network in Latin America

To better understand the threat that the situation in Venezuela implies for the entire hemisphere, one needs only to become familiar with the ideological signaling and collaborative habits of an armful of organizations whose leaders appear to be principally responsible for Venezuelan suffering. There exists in Latin America a sophisticated network of interrelated political parties and international organizations (depicted in the figure) that bear watching because they are actively anti-American, antiliberty, and generally destructive.¹

It would be useful and prudent for security analysts and policy makers to be cognizant of these organizations and their interrelationships, intentions, and capacities.

The network's components are woven by ideology, material objectives, and overlapping leadership and representation. So, to a degree, they are better analyzed as a regional composite threat rather than as individual organizations. Again, observing political parties and their interrelationships can be as revealing, if not more, for the purposes of geopolitical prediction and planning, than would assessing the region using national states or countries as the standard units of action.

To that end, this article offers an overview of the structure of those political parties and international organizations most relevant to the current goings-on in northern South America and the Caribbean. It highlights a network of revolutionary-left parties and concludes with a working hypothesis regarding the network's conspiratorial prospects.

First Tier. The Cuban Communist Party (*Partido Comunista de Cuba* or PCC) with its subordinate



Workers' Party President Gleisi Hoffmann (*center right*) attends a demonstration 7 April 2019—the anniversary of the incarceration of former Brazilian President Luiz Inácio Lula da Silva—outside the jail where Lula is being held in Curitiba, Brazil. While Lula serves a twelve-year sentence for corruption and money laundering, he and his party maintain his innocence and claim persecution by political enemies to prevent him from running again for president. (Photo by Denis Ferreira Netto, Associated Press)

United Socialist Party of Venezuela (*Partido Socialista Unido de Venezuela* or PSUV) are at the apex of the regional anti-American network collective.² Also, although not currently in control of the reins of its country's central government, the Brazilian Workers' Party (*Partido dos Trabalhadores* or PT) is a third leading organization within the whole network.³

Second Tier. After the PCC, PSUV, and PT, the second tier of groups are the Sandinista National Liberation Front (*Frente Sandinista de Liberación Nacional* or FSLN) in Nicaragua, Movement to Socialism (*Movimiento al Socialismo* or MAS) in Bolivia, and the Dominican Liberation Party (*Partido de Liberación Dominicana* or PLD) in the Dominican Republic. These organizations wield enhanced influence within the composite in that they control their respective country-level governments.⁴ All three have positively associated themselves with

the PCC and PSUV. The PLD (along with other leftist parties of several smaller island countries in the Antilles) may be of note at this time, given the strategic geographic location of Hispaniola and the rest of the Antilles in terms of international smuggling routes.⁵ The PLD, however, seems to be in a more tenuous geopolitical position (than, say, the Sandinista or Bolivian MAS parties) as to public support of the PSUV.⁶

Key Political Party Umbrella Organizations. There are numerous other expressly anti-American parties of peripheral significance, with the extended set conveniently outlined in the membership rolls of two major umbrella organizations, the Forum of São Paulo (*Foro de São Paulo* or FSP) and the Permanent Conference of Political Parties of Latin America and the Caribbean (*Conferencia Permanente de Partidos Políticos de América Latina y el Caribe* or COPPPAL).⁷



Members of the Forum of São Paulo (*Foro de São Paulo*) from Latin America and the Caribbean meet 13 April 2015 in Caracas, Venezuela, to ratify their support for Venezuela's disputed President Nicolás Maduro. The meeting was held in the face of a U.S. decree issued by President Barak Obama declaring the South American country a threat to U.S. national security. (Photo courtesy of the São Paulo Forum)

Key Trans- or International Facilitating Organizations. Finally, several regional international organizations are prominent within the collective. These include the Union of South American Nations (*Unión de Naciones Suramericanas* or UNASUR), the Community of Latin American and Caribbean States (*Comunidad de Estados Latinoamericanos y Caribeños* or CELAC) and the Bolivarian Alliance for the Peoples of Our America (*Alianza Bolivariana para los Pueblos de Nuestra América* or ALBA).⁸

Transnational Criminal Organizations

Additionally, parts of the party network are involved at various points and depths with international organized criminal organizations and activities of various types. Several, for instance, have been openly and mutually supportive of, and by, the FARC and the National Liberation Army (*Ejército de Liberación Nacional* or ELN). Both the ELN and FARC

have enjoyed increasing levels of impunity inside Venezuelan territory.⁹ With regard to those groups specifically, in the past few years, FARC has taken on a mixed character as a political party and armed force. FARC did not shed its revolutionary socialist orientation after having nominally signed an agreement to end its war with Colombia, nor did it shed its drug-trafficking portfolio. Meanwhile, the ELN has displaced the FARC as the preeminent Colombian guerrilla warfare structure. It uses Venezuela as a sanctuary territory, with its senior leadership commanding from Havana. In both cases, the FARC and the ELN are heavily involved in illegal drug trade, illegal mining activities, and other criminal activities.¹⁰ Also worth noting is criminal implication of members of the PSUV, the Brazilian PT, and the Forum of São Paulo in the Odebrecht scandal, which is perhaps the largest international corruption enterprise in the history of the hemisphere.

Extraregional Governmental Support

The whole of this organizational fabric is difficult to visualize through the lens of the international country system, but we can reasonably presume the components will display certain behaviors. Among these behaviors is propagandistic and material cooperation with governments outside the hemisphere that are of security concern to the United States. Such outside nations prominently include the governments of Russia, China, Iran, and perhaps Turkey.¹¹

Cuban Communist Party. The EcuRed website, which is evidently an official Cuban government site billing itself as a “Project of the Constitution of the Republic of Cuba,” lists Communism, anti-imperialism, Marxism-Leninism, and Martiism as the main planks of the party’s political ideology, and lists the FSP and COPPPAL as its main international affiliations.¹² According to an EcuRed essay, the Constitution of the Republic of Cuba defines the PCC as the “organized Martiist and Marxist-Leninist vanguard of the Cuban nation, the highest directive force of the Society and the State.” The EcuRed website also hosts a page for the Nicaraguan FSLN.¹³

The PCC hosted the Forum of São Paulo’s 2018 plenary meeting in Havana. A senior PCC central committee member opined,

This is about left forces uniting without losing their identities, but on the basis of a political program. What is impossible is transforming society without the unity of left forces, and that they take power. At this time, there is nothing more solid than the FSP, in which existing problems can be discussed, as well as ways to build unity.¹⁴

Raúl Castro, who recently relinquished his position as president of Cuba, remains the PCC leader. Regarding the PCC’s international affiliations, the web page of the International Meeting of Communist and Workers’ Parties, as well as those of COPPPAL and the FSP, list the PCC as a member.¹⁵ While addressing key positions in international policy, Raul Castro said in 2016,

Despite the fact that we have never encouraged other countries to abandon this organization, I must reiterate what was expressed in Brazil, some years ago now, paraphrasing José Martí, that before Cuba returns to the OAS [Organization of American States], “the ocean of the North will join the ocean of the

South, and a serpent will be born from the egg of an eagle.”

It is imperative to continue moving forward with the consolidation of CELAC as a mechanism of genuinely Latin American and Caribbean joint political action, based on the concept of unity in diversity.¹⁶

United Socialist Party of Venezuela. The PSUV’s official page references, and provides online, the *Libro Rojo* (Red Book), the founding document of the party, in which the party’s ideological identifiers are listed. The lead item listed as a founding principle is “Anti-capitalist and Anti-imperialist.”¹⁷ The PSUV’s web page also features an article on the 2018 FSP conference in Havana, noting that the conference called for solidarity in support of Venezuela, Nicaragua, and the people of Brazil. Interestingly, this call for solidarity was voiced by the prime minister of Saint Vincent and the Grenadines, Ralph Gonsalves, who spoke to the gathering.¹⁸

Brazilian Workers’ Party. The PT’s official web page does not feature an assertion regarding its international affiliations or mention the Forum of São Paulo.¹⁹ The current president of the party is Gleisi Hoffmann, but Luiz Inácio Lula da Silva and Dilma Rousseff are better known as ex-presidents of the country. Rousseff was impeached and removed from office in 2016. The PT nevertheless ran her as a senate candidate from Minas Gerais state in the October elections, but she lost. Lula, meanwhile, was convicted in 2017 of corruption and is now serving a jail sentence. He too was nevertheless leading in opinion polls as a PT candidate for the presidency. One of his disciples and a stand-in candidate, Fernando Haddad, then lost the general election to Jair Bolsonaro. Bolsonaro is considered anti-PT. Although the PT is now out of power, it can hardly be considered powerless. In early February, the PT announced a new alliance of several of Brazil’s far-left parties to oppose the new president.²⁰

The Forum of São Paulo. The FSP was established in 1990 by Fidel Castro and Lula, the purpose being to encourage mutual support among the region’s revolutionary leftist parties and to build strategic solidarity in the face of the post-Soviet reality in which major support of indigenous socialist movements had stopped. In addition to the on again/off again governmental control exercised by parties noted in the previous paragraphs, there are several other FSP parties currently exercising



Manolo Pichardo speaks at a Permanent Conference of Political Parties of Latin America and the Caribbean (*Conferencia Permanente de Partidos Políticos de América Latina y el Caribe*, or COPPPAL) meeting 2 June 2016. COPPPAL activities focus on supporting regional progressive actions of like-minded organizations—mainly socialist, communist, and left-leaning labor and populist/nationalist political parties—aimed at establishing and extending the influence of socialist-oriented regimes and policies. (Photo by Mihail García via Wikimedia Commons)

the offices of the chief executive of their respective countries. These include the PAIS Alliance (*Alianza PAIS [Patria Altiva y Soberana]*) party in Ecuador, the Farabundo Martí National Liberation Front (*Frente Farabundo Martí para la Liberación Nacional* or FMLN) in El Salvador, the Broad Front (*Frente Amplio* or FA) in Uruguay, and the National Regeneration Movement (*Movimiento Regeneración Nacional* or MORENA) in Mexico. Ecuadoran President Lenin Moreno appears currently to be distancing PAIS and his government from the Bolivarian block, apparently favoring improved relations with the new anti-Bolivarian administration in Colombia. The Salvadoran FMLN recently lost an election and will soon be out of power, while MORENA, a relatively new construction, has potential to greatly increase its profile within the overall network.

According to the FSP official website, Colombian parties in the FSP include the following:

- (1) Patriotic March (*Marcha Patriótica*)
- (2) Progressive Movement (*Movimiento Progresista*)
- (3) Green Alliance Party (*Partido Alianza Verde*)
- (4) Colombian Communist Party (*Partido Comunista Colombiano*)

- (5) Alternative Democratic Pole (*Polo Democrático Alternativo*)
- (6) Here for Socialism (*Presentes por el Socialismo*)
- (7) Patriotic Union (*Unión Patriótica*)
- (8) Citizen Power Movement (*Movimiento Poder Ciudadano*)

All of the above-listed Colombian parties favor continuation of the agreement reached between the administration of former Colombian President Juan Manuel Santos and the FARC. This is noteworthy because the FARC-government agreement, its future and the future of several institutions and legal mechanisms created under its aegis, can significantly

affect the power of the anti-American network generally.²¹

The most immediate change in the prospects of the FSP as the primary umbrella organization for leftist parties came with the October 2018 election of anti-PT Bolsonaro as president of Brazil. As a Colombian observer noted, “With Bolsonaro, the Forum of São Paulo, strategic center of the disastrous Latin-American left (Castro, Lula, and Chávez) should disappear.”²² In Mexico, recently elected President Andrés Manuel López Obrador (popularly known as AMLO), who appears to have had a personal affinity for the Cuban Revolution, created MORENA, which is a party member of the FSP.²³ AMLO and MORENA, however, may prefer to exercise regional influence through its presence in COPPPAL than in the FSP because COPPPAL employs more Mexican personnel and has a distinctly Mexican provenance.

Permanent Conference of Political Parties of Latin America and the Caribbean. The lead article on the official COPPPAL website in late October 2018 asserted the successful conclusion of COPPPAL’s thirty-sixth plenary meeting that month in Panama City.²⁴ The president of COPPPAL is Manolo Pichardo, from the Dominican



Representatives participate in the Special Meeting of the Council of Heads of State and Government of the Union of South American Nations (*Unión de Naciones Suramericanas* or UNASUR) to transfer the pro tempore presidency of UNASUR 4 December 2014 in Guayaquil, Ecuador. Founded by Venezuelan president Hugo Chávez as an alternative to the Organization of American States, which he asserted was an instrument of U.S. colonial domination, UNASUR activities focus on supporting regional progressive actions of like-minded organizations aimed at establishing and extending the influence of socialist-oriented regimes and policies. (Photo courtesy of the Government of Chile via Wikimedia Commons)

Republic (where COPPPAL currently has its headquarters). Pichardo used part of his speech to the gathering to express support for the imprisoned Brazilian Luiz Inácio Lula da Silva.²⁵ Although COPPPAL seems to have fewer overt member parties than the Forum of São Paulo, the two have in common their being communities of parties with ideological affinity rather than being forums of governments.²⁶ In terms of institutional health and influence, COPPPAL may fare better than the Forum of São Paulo during the next few years if for no other reason than the recently elected Mexican president is decidedly socialist and pro-COPPPAL, whereas the recently elected president of Brazil, Jair Bolsonaro, is decidedly antisocialist and opposed to the Forum of São Paulo.

COPPPAL also might experience some participation, funding, and mission expansion as a result of UNASUR's contraction, even while the latter is an ostensibly governments-based international organization. Notably, Jorge Arias of the Cuban PCC is listed as an adjunct executive secretary on the COPPPAL website.²⁷

Pichardo is a member of the PLD, the party of the country's president. The PLD is also listed as a member

of the Forum of São Paulo. Gustavo Carvajal Moreno, member of Mexico's Institutional Revolutionary Party (*Partido Revolucionario Institucional* or PRI), is COPPPAL's vice president. COPPPAL projects an academic effort through the *Instituto de Formación Política Gustavo Carvajal Moreno*, which according to the COPPPAL website offers an academic program on political leadership for the twenty-first century. Notably among the class offerings is something titled, "The Importance of CELAC in the Current Political Context."

Community of Latin American and Caribbean States. According to its website, "CELAC is an inter-governmental mechanism for dialogue and political agreement ... By mandate of the Heads of State and Government, CELAC is the unified voice of the region on issues of consensus. Regarding the representation of Latin America and the Caribbean; CELAC takes its faculties to act as spokesman for the community with other countries and regional blocs."²⁸

The last summit conference of the organization (its fifth) was held in Punta Cana, Dominican Republic, in January 2017.²⁹ The CELAC website does not provide

complete information regarding attendance but does include a document styled the “Political Declaration of Punta Cana, Summit V of the Chiefs of State and Government of CELAC.”³⁰ Of interest among the numerous resolutions in that declaration is the following regarding the control of Venezuela:

We support the process of national dialog in the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela between the Government and the opposition of said country, under the oversight of the Secretary General of UNASUR, Ernesto Samper, ex-presidents José Luis Rodríguez Zapatero, Leonel Fernández, Martín Torrijos, and the special representative of his Holiness Pope Francisco ... and under the principle of respect for non-intervention in the internal affairs of States.³¹

Also of note,

We consider the return to the Republic of Cuba of the territory occupied by the United

States Naval Base in Guantánamo ... must be a relevant element of the process of normalization of relations between the two countries.³²

CELAC is a product of organizational and diplomatic efforts by leaders in the PCC and PSUV but with a less decidedly South American continental flavor than UNASUR. Launched circa 2011, it is newer than UNASUR and seems to have a relatively compact geographic and bureaucratic footprint centered on the Caribbean as well as a smaller budgetary overhead. As for extraregional relations, the following statement is revelatory:

Supporters listen as Revolutionary Armed Forces of Colombia–People’s Army (*Fuerzas Armadas Revolucionarias de Colombia—Ejército del Pueblo* or FARC-EP) leader Rodrigo Londoño, known by his nom de guerre Timochenko, speaks 1 September 2017 during the launching of the new political party Revolutionary Alternative Common Force at the Plaza de Bolívar in Bogotá, Colombia. (Photo by Jaime Saldarriaga, Reuters)



Regarding the representation of Latin America and the Caribbean; CELAC takes its faculties to act as spokesman for the Community with other countries and regional blocs. This includes the CELAC dialogue with the European Union, China, the Russian Federation, the Republic of Korea, the Cooperation Council for the Arab States of the Gulf, Turkey and Japan.³³

Union of South American Nations.

UNASUR was created circa 2005–2008 on the initiative of Hugo Chávez as an alternative to the Organization of American States. Its purpose, beyond the typical structure for sinecures and fluidity of travel for party loyalists, was to create another formal mechanism in international law for influence within the hemisphere and beyond. As with other international organizations formed

under the auspices of the PCC, PSUV, and PT, UNASUR expressly excluded the United States. Perhaps due to its obvious control by and preference for the owners of Latin American twenty-first-century socialism, the organization never enjoyed universal support among the national governments of the hemisphere. After the deaths of Chávez and Fidel Castro, and the general swing to the right of the hemisphere's ideological pendulum, UNASUR today finds itself in precipitous decline.³⁴

Bolivarian Alliance for the Peoples of Our America. ALBA was also formed by PCC and PSUV leaders as a counterbalance to the Organization of the American States and has its headquarters in Caracas. At its founding, it specifically excluded membership

by the United States. It is older than both UNASUR and CELAC, having been founded circa 2003. ALBA is styled as a governments-based international organization, and while smaller in terms of participating countries (currently nine) than UNASUR or CELAC, it presents itself as the most decidedly resolute in support of the PCC and PSUV.³⁵ Ecuador and Honduras



A National Liberation Army (*Ejército de Liberación Nacional*) guerrilla poster 7 March 2007 at the León de Greiff Auditorio, National University of Colombia in Bogotá. The poster reads "Ni Rendición, Ni Entrega," which translates to "Neither Surrender, Nor Yield." (Photo by Julián Ortega Martínez via Wikimedia Commons)

were early members but the governments of those two countries have quit the alliance. Ostensibly focused on economic facilitation among its members, ALBA advances PCC and PSUV positions on a complete range of international issues. Notably, the Dominican Republic's government does not appear to be represented as an official member.

Revolutionary Armed Forces of Colombia—Army of the People. In August 2017, together with voting delegates from the Clandestine Communist Party of Colombia, FARC rebranded itself as a political party; the Alternative Revolutionary Force of the Majority (*Fuerza Alternativa Revolucionaria del Común* or FARC). But even the latter seems to maintain, at least informally, the



Venezuela's disputed President Nicolás Maduro marches with members of the Venezuela's armed forces 27 January 2019 during military exercises at Fort Paramacay in Naguanagua, Carabobo State, Venezuela. (Photo courtesy of the Office of the President of Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela)

lettering FARC-EP, with the EP standing for Army of the People (*Ejército del Pueblo*).³⁶

The entity was to be a nonviolent political party with the attendant legal attributes and privileges. Since late 2016, prospects of the electoral-politics FARC have been bound up in the power-sharing agreement the FARC reached with then Colombian President Juan Manuel Santos. One leading analyst, Diego Molano, observed that rather than two FARC, we might recognize three,

One FARC as a political party, which has not abandoned the [illicit drug trafficking] business ... Another FARC as dissidents that continue to manage all the crops, routes, and to attack the population. And another that camouflages itself within the ELN in order to continue with the business.³⁷

In a later development, there are indications that FARC might be experiencing an internal schism, with some of its leadership more personally bound to the political potential of the agreement with the Colombian government and others of FARC secretariat returning or clinging to the more belligerent business model.³⁸ FARC and FARC-EP may soon

further define themselves one from the other, both organizationally and geographically.³⁹ We should also keep in mind Diego Molano's observation about the third FARC—that FARC and National Liberation Army (*Ejército de Liberación Nacional* or ELN) may have a highly cooperative relationship.

National Liberation Army. In its beginnings a university- and urban-based insurgency, the ELN has long been the lesser sibling to FARC. Yet, while the FARC was attempting to demobilize as a result of agreements with the Colombian government, the recent FARC reorganization and possible internal schism may have redounded to the benefit of the ELN as it moved to fill an insurgent void left by the demobilizing FARC forces. As a result, there are some indications that we may be witnessing the ascendance in status of the ELN within the Bolivarian movement, especially as an enforcement arm of its PSUV and PCC hosts within and near Venezuelan country borders.

Regarding the overall increase in ELN activity, especially inside Venezuela, there has been ample reporting, including to the effect that it is a welcome element within the Bolivarian control structure.⁴⁰ FARC

and ELN are perhaps to be considered two of several Bolivarian armed forces.

Traditional forces. Other organizational elements of the anti-American network worthy of our concern include the several intelligence services of the PCC and PSUV, as well as the formalized military wings of those parties, including the country-level regular armed forces that the PCC and PSUV control.⁴¹ Of note among the latter are the Bolivarian National Armed Forces (*Fuerza Armada Nacional Bolivariana* or FANB) and its subordinates, including the Bolivarian National Guard of Venezuela (*Guardia Nacional Bolivariana de Venezuela* or GNB). There may exist some amount of discord and disaffection within and among these more formal pieces of the network.⁴² Still, it appears that the PCC continues to consolidate and strengthen its dominant position as to their control.⁴³

Prospects

The recent ascendance to power of anti-leftist political parties and party alliances in Colombia, Brazil, and Argentina presents a potentially existential threat to some of the organizations of the leftist network described in this article.⁴⁴ That antileft threat could accelerate within the next few years as the incoming administrations consolidate control over their respective government apparatuses, especially intelligence services. These new administrations could come to challenge the core or apex organizations within the consortium—the PSUV and, ultimately, the PCC.

If indeed there exist reasonable prospects of mortal blows being delivered to the power of the PSUV and PCC, several extraregional entities are likely to be alarmed enough to take active countermeasures, as they could lose consequential global strategic advantage were the two parties to be disempowered.⁴⁵ For example, a loss

of power by the PCC and PSUV would directly affect the status quo of control (mostly in Venezuelan territory) over natural resource exploitation infrastructures. The natural resources flowing from or through Venezuelan territory reasonably constitute the single greatest material prize in Latin America for several extraregional actors.

Those most affected would include the current regimes in Russia, China, and Iran, all of which have placed major economic bets to secure portions of Venezuela-based natural resource potential. Additionally, a significant weakening of the PCC would in turn be likely to weaken the secondary members of the consortium as well as other of the region's transnational smuggling cartels.

As for the handful of international organizations facilitating the network, those organizations (FSP, COPPPAL, CELAC, UNASUR, ALBA) would weaken without the active presence of the PCC and its oversight. Given their membership rolls and stated purposes, those organizations appear unsuitable as partners or vehicles for United States plans and programs. Contrarily, they might best be considered as targets for monitoring and debilitation. As a final note, it would be a useful strategy-making practice to discuss developments within the region according to affiliated political party identities and their associating multinational organizations rather than by country name (e.g., “Venezuela” or “Cuba”). The engine of the region's effective anti-Americanism and threat to U.S. interests is less a phenomenon to be associated with countries than it is with certain of the region's political parties acting in concert. These are the real loci of destructive coercive power in the region. For example, any discussion of the future of Venezuela that does not revolve around the actions, reactions, and survivability of the PCC is incomplete and necessarily misleading. ■

Notes

1. It is not a purpose of the present article to build an argument as to what makes any of the organizations listed herein anti-American and destructive. Readers at all familiar with the region will recognize that the organizations listed as part of the conspiracy meet (by self-admission or according to multiple official U.S. government or other credible sources) one or more of the following criteria:

Anti-American: Organizations that explicitly claim to be anti-American (if perhaps under the phrases anti-imperialist or anticapitalist) exclude U.S. representation in their multinational

deliberations and forums; ally with extraregional powers that are generally considered strategic antagonists or adversaries of the United States, including most prominently, Russia, China, and Iran; and run regimes from which the United States has withdrawn diplomatic recognition.

Destructive: Organizations that have leaders who are the subjects of international criminal indictments or have been convicted for criminal behaviors are listed by the U.S. government as terrorist organizations; are documented as illicit drug traffickers by United

States and international counterdrug law enforcement agencies; are similarly documented as illegal mining entrepreneurs; are similarly documented as accessories to or participants in human trafficking; are support organizations dedicated to separating U.S. territory from the United States; have caused mass involuntary outmigrations; have been complicit in or directly involved in the continuous destruction of the natural environment, including in neighboring countries; have been complicit in or directly involved in the destruction of economic infrastructure, including in neighboring countries; or that have otherwise offended.

2. "Partido Comunista de Cuba" [Communist Party of Cuba], EcuRed, accessed 7 May 2019, <https://www.ecured.cu/Partido-Comunista-de-Cuba>; Partido Socialista Unido de Venezuela [United Socialist Party of Venezuela], accessed 15 May 2019, <http://www.psuov.org.ve>.

3. Partido dos Trabalhadores [Brazilian Workers' Party or PT] (website), accessed 7 May 2019, <http://www.pt.org.br/>.

4. La Voz del Sandinismo [The Voice of Sandinismo] (website), accessed 7 May 2019, <http://www.lavozdelsandinismo.com/>; "Frente Sandinista de Liberación Nacional" [Sandinista National Liberation Front], EcuRed, accessed 13 May 2019, <https://www.ecured.cu/Frente-Sandinista-de-Liberación-Nacional>; "Dirección Nacional MAS-IPSP" [National Leadership of MAS-IPSP], MASI-PSP (website), accessed 13 May 2019, <http://www.masipsp.bo>.

5. On the geographic relationship of Hispaniola to the drug trade, see Tristan Clavel, "Trafficking Routes Up for Grabs After Fall of Top Caribbean Drug Kingpin," InSight Crime, 17 August 2017, accessed 8 May 2019, <https://www.insightcrime.org/news/analysis/trafficking-routes-up-for-grabs-after-fall-top-caribbean-drug-kingpin/>; Christopher Woody, "Here's How Drugs Are Getting Smuggled from South America to the US," Business Insider, 14 September 2017, accessed 8 May 2019, <https://www.businessinsider.com/heres-how-drugs-are-getting-smuggled-from-south-america-to-the-us-2017-9>; "17 Caribbean, Latin American Nations Named as Major Drug Producing or Transit Countries," News Americas Now, 2 March 2016, accessed 8 May 2019, <https://www.newsamericasnow.com/17-caribbean-latin-american-nations-named-as-major-drug-producing-or-transit-countries/>; "Full Circle: An Old Route Regains Popularity with Drugs Gangs," *Economist* (website), 24 May 2014, accessed 8 May 2019, <https://www.economist.com/the-americas/2014/05/24/full-circle>; Office of National Drug Control Policy, "Transit Zone Operations," accessed 8 May 2019, <https://obamawhitehouse.archives.gov/ondcp/transit-zone-operations>; United States Senate Caucus on International Narcotics Control, Preventing a Security Crisis in the Caribbean (Washington, DC: U.S. Senate, September 2012), accessed 8 May 2019, <https://www.drugcaucus.senate.gov/sites/default/files/caribbean%20drug%20report.pdf>.

6. This is reflected in the ambivalent course taken by Dominican Liberation Party (PLD) leaders during the January 2019 challenge of Juan Guaidó to the Venezuelan presidency. On January 24, the foreign ministry stated that it did not recognize Maduro's second term but also did not recognize Guaidó. PLD legislators, meanwhile, rallied in support of Nicolás Maduro. "República Dominicana reafirma que no reconoce el segundo mandato de Maduro pero no declara su apoyo a Guaidó" [Dominican Republic reaffirms that it does not recognize the second term of Maduro but does not declare its support for Guaidó], Europa Press, 24 January 2019, accessed 8 May 2019, <https://www.europapress.es/internacional/noticia-republica-dominica-reafirma-no-reconoce-segundo-mandato-maduro-no-declara-apoyo-guaido-20190124173154.html>; Stephanie Andújar, "Legisladores dominicanos manifiestan su apoyo

a Nicolás Maduro" [Dominican legislators show their support for Nicolás Maduro], HispanTV, 1 February 2019, accessed 8 May 2019, <https://www.hispantv.com/noticias/dominicana/410089/legisladores-apoyo-maduro-golpe-eeuu-guaido>.

7. Foro de São Paulo [Forum of São Paulo] (website), accessed 8 May 2019, <http://forodesaopaulo.org>; Conferencia Permanente de Partidos Políticos de América Latina y el Caribe [Permanent Conference of Political Parties of Latin America and the Caribbean or COPPPAL] (website), accessed 8 May 2019, <https://www.coppal.org/>.

8. Unión de Naciones Suramericanas [Union of South American Nations or UNASUR] (website), accessed 8 May 2019, <https://www.unasursg.org/>; Community of Latin American and Caribbean States (CELAC) (website), accessed 8 May 2019, <http://celacinternacional.org/>; Alianza Bolivariana para los Pueblos de Nuestra América [Bolivarian Alliance for the Peoples of Our America or ALBA] (website), accessed 13 May 2019, <http://www.portalalba.org>.

9. Fernando Tineo, "El ELN se instaló en el Zulia" [The ELN installs itself in Zulia], Venepress, 7 September 2018, accessed 8 May 2019, <https://venepress.com/articulo/El-ELN-se-instalo-en-el-Zulia1536339159281>; Sebastiana Barraez, "Las FARC se reagrupan en Apure" [The FARC regroup in Apure], Costa del Sol (radio), 28 September 2018, accessed 8 May 2019, <http://www.costadelsolfm.net/2018/09/28/sebastiana-barraez-las-farc-se-reagrupa-en-asure/>.

10. Geoffrey Demarest, "ELN and FARC Seek Sanctuary," *OE Watch* 8, no. 11 (November 2018): 62, accessed 30 May 2019, <https://community.apan.org/wg/tradoc-g2/fmsom/oe-watch-past-issues/251377>.

11. On this point, see, for instance, Elizabeth Burgos, "Venezuela, puerta de entrada de los nuevos imperios" [Venezuela, port of entry of the new empires], *Revista Zeta*, 10 November 2017, accessed 8 May 2019, <http://revistazeta.net/2017/11/10/venezuela-puerta-entrada-los-nuevos-imperios/>; "Rusia y Turquía, los aliados de Maduro ante crisis en Venezuela" [Russia and Turkey, Maduro's allies in facing the crisis in Venezuela], *El Colombiano* (website), 4 December 2018, accessed 8 May 2019, <http://www.elcolombiano.com/internacional/venezuela/nicolas-maduro-presidente-de-venezuela-viaja-a-rusia-para-reunion-con-vladimir-putin-Fj9759272>; Martin Arostegui, "New Air Bridge Reflects Iran's Growing Influence in Venezuela," VOA [Voice of America], 15 April 2019, accessed 30 May 2019, <https://www.voanews.com/a/new-air-bridge-reflects-iran-s-growing-influence-in-venezuela/4876719.html>.

12. "Partido Comunista de Cuba [Communist Party of Cuba]"

13. *Ibid.*

14. José Ramón Balaguer Cabrera, "São Paulo Forum, a Vital Battle for Unity," interview by Bertha Mojena Milián, *Granma*, 1 September 2018, accessed 8 May 2019, <http://en.granma.cu/cuba/2018-07-16/sao-paulo-forum-a-vital-battle-for-unity>.

15. "List of Participants of the 19th International Meeting of Communist and Workers' Parties, St. Petersburg, 1-4 of November 2017," accessed 8 May 2019, <https://www.solidnet.org/galleries/documents/Participants-List.pdf>.

16. Raúl Castro Ruz, "The Development of the National Economy, along with the Struggle for Peace, and Our Ideological Resolve, Constitute the Party's Principal Missions: 7th PCC Congress Central Report, Presented by First Secretary Raúl Castro Ruz," *Granma*, 18 April 2016, accessed 8 May 2019, <http://en.granma.cu/cuba/2016-04-18/the-development-of-the-national-economy-along-with-the-struggle-for-peace-and-our-ideological-resolve-constitute-the-partys-principal-missions>.

17. Partido Socialista Unido de Venezuela (United Socialist Party of Venezuela or PSUV), Libro Rojo [Red Book], http://www.psuov.org.ve/wp-content/uploads/2014/12/Nuevo_Libro_Rojo_PSUV.pdf.

18. "Foro de São Paulo llama a defender a Venezuela, Nicaragua y el pueblo de Brasil" [Forum of São Paulo calls on defense of Venezuela, Nicaragua and the people of Brazil], PSUV, 16 July 2018, http://www.psuov.org.ve/temas/noticias/foro-sao-paulovenezuelanicaragua-pueblobrasilsan-vice-y-granadinasministrorarp-gonsalves/#.XNsQ_X_9nIU. Although Gonsalves did not attend the inauguration ceremonies for Nicolás Maduro this January, Saint Vincent and the Grenadines did send government representation and, as of this writing, had not withdrawn recognition of the Maduro government.

19. Partido dos Trabalhadores.

20. "PT, PSOL, PSB e Rede formalizam bloco de esquerda em oposição a Bolsonaro" [PT, PSOL, PSB and Rede formalize a leftist block in opposition to Bolsonaro], PT, 1 February 2019, accessed 9 May 2019, <http://www.pt.org.br/pt-psol-psb-e-rede-formalizam-bloco-de-esquerda-em-oposicao-a-bolsonaro/>. Like the PT, the Brazilian Socialist Party (Partido Socialista Brasileiro or PSB) is a member of the Forum of São Paulo.

21. Ricardo Ferro, "Colombia y el peligroso Foro de Sao Paulo [Colombia and the dangerous Forum of Sao Paulo]," PanamPost, 13 August 2018, accessed 30 May 2019, https://es.panampost.com/ricardo_ferro/2018/08/13/colombia-foro-de-sao-paulo/.

22. John Marulanda, "Bolsonaro: a la derecha, sin uniforme" [Bolsonaro: To the right, without uniform], Periódico Debate, 10 October 2018, accessed 9 May 2019, <http://www.periodicodebate.com/index.php/opinion/columnistas-nacionales/item/20813-bolsonaro-a-la-derecha-sin-uniforme>; see also Álvaro Riveros Tejada, "El corazón del Brasil late a la derecha" [Brazil's heart beats to the right], Eju TV, 10 October 2018, accessed 14 May 2019, <https://eju.tv/2018/10/corazon-del-brasil-late-a-la-derecha/>.

23. Rubén Cortés, "La raíz cubana de López Obrador" [López Obrador's Cuban base], América 2.1, 2 July 2018, accessed 9 May 2019, <http://americanuestra.com/la-raiz-cubana-de-lopez-obrador>; Carina García, "AMLO es la persona con más autoridad moral: Castro" [AMLO is the person with the greatest moral authority: Castro], *El Universal* (website), 28 November 2016, accessed 9 May 2019, <https://www.eluniversal.com.mx/articulo/nacion/politica/2016/11/28/amlo-es-la-persona-con-mas-autoridad-moral-castro>; "Who is Mexico's Andrés Manuel López Obrador?," BBC, 29 November 2018, accessed 30 May 2019, <https://www.bbc.com/news/world-latin-america-44646478>.

24. "Concluye de manera exitosa XXXVI Reunión Plenaria de la Copppal" [The XXXVI Plenary Meeting of the COPPPAL ends in a successful manner], COPPPAL, n.d., accessed 9 May 2019, <https://www.copppal.org/concluye-de-manera-exitosa-xxxvi-reunion-plenaria-de-la-copppal/>.

25. Ibid. The same article highlights the presence of a number of VIPs including Martín Torrijos, son of ousted dictator Omar Torrijos; Fernando Lugo, ex-president of Paraguay; and Pedro Miguel González, secretary general of the Panamanian Democratic Revolutionary Party (*Partido Revolucionario Democrático* or PRD). The PRD web page indicates it is affiliated internationally with the Socialist International and the Forum of São Paulo.

26. "Conferencia Permanente de Partidos Políticos de América Latina y el Caribe" [Permanent Conference of Political Parties of Latin America and the Caribbean], EcuRed, accessed 14 May 2019, http://www.ecured.cu/Conferencia_Permanente_de_Partidos_Pol%C3%ADticos_de_Am%C3%A9rica_Latina_y_el_Caribe; "Países y partidos miembros" [Member countries and parties],

Way Back Machine, accessed 9 May 2019, https://web.archive.org/web/20161023044831/http://copppal.org/contenidos/institucional/partidos_miembros.php. The current official COPPPAL website did not have an accessible list of members but an archived site, Way Back Machine, includes a list of member parties, including parties from Caribbean islands that are not sovereign countries. There is considerable overlap of membership with the Forum of São Paulo and all of the parties appearing to be leftist.

27. "¿Quiénes Somos?" [Who are we?], COPPPAL (website), accessed 9 May 2019, <https://www.copppal.org/quienes-somos-2/>.

28. "CELAC," CELAC International (website), accessed 9 May 2019, <http://celacinternacional.org/celac-2-2/>; "CELAC: Estados Miembros" [CELAC: Member states], Sistema Económico Latinoamericano y del Caribe (SELA), accessed 9 May 2019, <http://www.sela.org/celac/estados-miembros/>; "CELAC: Estados Miembros" [CELAC: Member states], El Salvador Presidencia Pro Tempore CELAC, accessed 9 May 2019, <https://celac.ree.gob.sv/estado-miembro/>. The latter two websites list thirty-three member states.

29. "CELAC: Cumbres" [CELAC: Summits], SELA (website), accessed 9 May 2019, <http://www.sela.org/celac/cumbres/>.

30. "Declaración Política de Punta Cana, V Cumbre de Jefes y Jefes de Estado y de Gobierno de la CELAC" [Political Declaration of Punta Cana, Summit V of the Chiefs of State and Government of CELAC], SELA (website), accessed 9 May 2019, <http://www.sela.org/media/2463711/declaracion-politica-de-punta-cana.pdf>.

31. Ibid.; Compare this to EFE, "Aznar afirma que la crisis de Venezuela no se resuelve con diálogo político" [Aznar states that the crisis in Venezuela will not be resolved with political dialog], Panam Post, 16 October 2018, accessed 9 May 2019, <https://es.panampost.com/efe-panampost/2018/10/16/aznar-venezuela/>.

32. "Declaración Política de Punta Cana."

33. "CELAC," CELAC International (website).

34. Andrés Felipe Gama, "Iván Duque sugiere a países abandonar la Unasur por ser cómplice de la dictadura venezolana" [Iván Duque suggests that other countries abandon UNASUR for being an accomplice of the Venezuelan dictatorship], Radio Santafé, 30 June 2018, accessed 9 May 2019, <http://www.radiosantafe.com/2018/06/30/ivan-duque-sugiere-a-paises-abandonar-la-unasur-por-ser-complice-de-la-dictadura-venezolana/>; Edgar C. Otálvora, "Informe Otálvora: Unasur se queda sin sede, presupuesto y miembros" [Otálvora Report: Unasur ends up without a headquarters, budget and members], Runrun.es, 15 July 2018, <http://runrun.es/opinion/346513/informe-otalvora-unasur-se-queda-sin-sede-presupuesto-y-miembros/>.

35. "ALBA-TCP," ALBA-TCP XVI Consejo Político, accessed 9 May 2019, <http://albatcp.cubaminrex.cu/page/alba-tcp>; Portal ALBA (website), accessed 9 May 2019, <http://www.portalalba.org/>.

36. "Revolutionary Armed Forces of Colombia-People's Army," Stanford University, accessed 9 May 2019, <https://web.stanford.edu/group/mappingmilitants/cgi-bin/groups/view/89>.

37. Diego Molano, "Un solo narcotráfico con tres Farc" [A single drug trafficker with three FARC], Kienyke, 18 April 2018, accessed 9 May 2019, <https://www.kienyke.com/kien-escribe/un-solo-narcotrafico-con-tres-farc-opinion-de-diego-molano>.

38. "Márquez vs Timochenko: revelaciones de una pelea a muerte" [Márquez vs. Timochenko: Revelations of a death match], Las2orillas, 29 October 2018, accessed 9 May 2019, <https://www.las2orillas.co/marquez-vs-timochenko-revelaciones-de-una-pelea-muerte/>.

39. "El nuevo nombre de las FARC" [The new name of the FARC], Caracol Radio, 27 July 2017, accessed 15 May 2019,

https://caracol.com.co/programa/2017/07/27/6am_hoy_por_hoy/1501160016_991561.html; "FARC Said to be Regrouping to Resume Guerrilla Fighting in Colombia," MercoPress, 12 November 2018, accessed 9 May 2019, <https://en.mercopress.com/2018/11/12/farc-said-to-be-regrouping-to-resume-guerrilla-fighting-in-colombia>; "FF.MM advierten resurgimiento de Farc impulsado por exjefes de secretariado" [Armed forces warn of the resurgence of the FARC impelled by ex-chiefs of the secretariat], Radio Caracol, 9 November 2018, https://caracol.com.co/radio/2018/11/09/judicial/1541797920_541360.html.

40. Ariel Cabrera, "Eln sigue dinamitando el oleoducto Caño Limón-Coveñas y causa grave emergencia en Arauca" [ELN continues dynamiting the Caño Limón-Coveñas oil pipeline; causes a grave emergency in Arauca], Radio Santafé, 13 November 2018, accessed 9 May 2019, <http://www.radiosantafe.com/2018/11/13/eln-sigue-dinamitando-el-oleoducto-cano-limon-covenas-causa-grave-emergencia-en-arauca/>; María Ramírez Cabello, "ELN explora suelo venezolano desde hace cinco años y se expande para controlar minas y pasos fronterizos" [ELN has been exploring Venezuelan ground for five years and is expanding to control mines and border crossings], La Patilla, 7 November 2018, accessed 9 May 2019, <https://www.lapatilla.com/2018/11/07/eln-explora-suelo-venezolano-desde-hace-cinco-anos-y-se-expande-para-controlar-minas-y-pasos-fronterizos/>; Fernando Tineo, "Venezuela: El ELN también está en estados con salida al mar" [Venezuela: The ELN is also in states with access to the sea], Venepress, 8 November 2018, accessed 9 May 2019, <https://venepress.com/politica/ELN-tambien-esta-en-estados-con-salida-al-mar-1541703040682>; Mauricio Botero Caicedo, "¿Es el ELN una guerrilla venezolana?" [Is the ELN a Venezuelan guerrilla Group?], Radio Santafé, 15 November 2018, accessed 9 May 2019, <http://www.radiosantafe.com/2018/11/15/es-el-eln-una-guerrilla-venezolana/>; "ELN, el nuevo enemigo" [ELN, the new enemy], Semana, 17 February 2018, accessed 9 May 2019, <https://www.semana.com/nacion/articulo/elnen-venezuela-el-nuevo-enemigo/557445>; "Campamentos del ELN en Venezuela" [Encampments of the ELN in Venezuela], YouTube video, posted by "El Tiempo," 10 May 2018, accessed 9 May 2019, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hlm4U_IMQj8; Sabrina Martín, "Culpan al ELN por masacre de 20 mineros en Venezuela" [ELN blamed for the massacre of 20 miners in Venezuela], Panam Post, 10 May 2018, accessed 9 May 2019, <https://es.panampost.com/sabrina-martin/2018/05/10/venezuela-eln-mineria-asesinato-estado-bolivar/>.

41. "Servicio Bolivariano de Inteligencia Nacional, SEBIN" [Bolivarian National Intelligence Service, SEBIN], La Pagina de ASR, accessed 9 May 2019, <https://www.intelpage.info/servicio-bolivariano-de-inteligencia-nacional-sebin.html>; "Servicios de Inteligencia de

Cuba" [Cuban Intelligence Services], Cuba Militar, La Encyclopedia Militar Cubana, accessed 9 May 2019, http://www.cubamilitar.org/wiki/Servicios_de_Inteligencia_de_Cuba. Key among the intelligence services are SEBIN and the Cuban-based intelligence services, often referred to under the umbrella acronym MININT for Ministry of Interior. These include large operational wings.

42. See, for instance, "Detención a líder del ELN habría provocado enfrentamientos en Amazonas" [Detention of ELN leader provokes clashes in Amazonas], *El Nacional* (website), 4 November 2018, accessed 9 May 2019, http://www.el-nacional.com/noticias/sucesos/detencion-lider-del-eln-habria-provocado-enfrentamientos-amazonas_258553.

43. Geoffrey Demarest, "Cubazuela Intelligence," *OE Watch* 8, no. 12 (December 2018): 66, accessed 9 May 2019, <https://community.apan.org/wg/tradoc-g2/fms/m/oe-watch-past-issues/252911>; "¿Alguien ha visto a Gustavo González López?" [Has anyone seen Gustavo González López?], Tal Cual, 16 November 2018, accessed 9 May 2019, <http://talcualdigital.com/index.php/2018/11/16/alguien-ha-visto-a-gustavo-gonzalez-lopez/>; "Exoficial chavista: G2 cubano controla servicio de inteligencia en Venezuela [Ex-Chavista official: Cuban G2 controls the Venezuelan intelligence service]," Radio Televisión Martí (with information from ABC España and the Vertice News y Notilogía web portals), 5 April 2017, accessed 30 May 2019, <https://www.radiotelevisionmarti.com/a/cubanos-controlan-servicio-inteligencia-venezuela/142456.html>; EFE, "¡Para esto si hay dólares! Cuba envía 500 médicos más a Venezuela" [For this yes there are dollars! Cuba sends 500 more doctors to Venezuela], *DolarToday*, 13 November 2018, accessed 9 May 2019, <https://dolartoday.com/para-esto-si-hay-dolares-cuba-envia-500-medicos-mas-venezuela/>; Juan Claudio Lechín, "Cuba estrangula a Venezuela" [Cuba strangles Venezuela], *Peru21*, 11 Jun 2017, accessed 15 May 2019, <https://peru21.pe/opinion/juan-claudio-lechin-cuba-estrangula-venezuela-80393>.

44. Orlando Avendaño, "With Jair Bolsonaro as President, It's Game Over for the São Paulo Forum," *PanAm Post*, 29 October 2018, accessed 9 May 2019, <https://panampost.com/orlando-avenda-no/2018/10/29/with-jair-bolsonaro-as-president-its-game-over-for-the-sao-paulo-forum/>.

45. See, for instance, Yoani Sánchez, "El Kremlin ha vuelto" [The Kremlin has returned], *14yMedio*, 28 May 2017, accessed 9 May 2019, http://www.14ymedio.com/opinion/Kremlin-vuelto-Vladimir-Putin-La-Habana-Cuba-Raul-Castro-Union-Soviética_0_2225777406.html.