4. Army Doctrine Publication (ADP) 3-0, *Operations* (Washington, DC: U.S. Government Publishing Office [GPO], 6 October 2017), 5-1, accessed 3 October 2019, <u>https://armypubs.army.mil/epubs/DR_pubs/DR_a/pdf/web/ARN18010_ADP%203-0%20</u> FINAL%20WEB.pdf.

5. Ibid.

6. ADP 5-0, *The Operations Process* (Washington, DC: U.S. GPO, 31 July 2019), 4-5, accessed 3 October 2019, <u>https://armypubs.army.mil/epubs/DR_pubs/DR_a/pdf/web/ARN18323_ADP%205-0%20</u> FINAL%20WEB.pdf.

7. Field Manual (FM) 13-3, *Information Operations* (Washington, DC: U.S. GPO, 6 December 2016), 1-2, accessed 31 July 2019, <u>https://armypubs.army.mil/epubs/DR_pubs/DR_a/pdf/web/FM%203-13%20</u> FINAL%20WEB.pdf.

8. lbid., 1-3.

9. ADP 3-0, Operations, 5-3-5-4.

10. "A Guide to Red Teaming: DCDC Guidance Note" (Shrivenham, UK: The Development, Concepts and Doctrine Centre, February 2010), lexicon-2, accessed 5 August 2019, <u>https://www.act.nato.int/images/stories/events/2011/cde/rr_ukdcdc.pdf</u>.

11. Sebastian Bay et al., *Responding to Cognitive Security Challenges* (Riga, Latvia: NATO Strategic Communications Centre of Excellence, January 2019), 13–14, accessed 31 July 2019, <u>https://www.stratcomcoe.org/responding-cognitive-security-challenges</u>.

12. Joseph Dunford, "The Character of War and Strategic Landscape have Changed," *Joint Force Quarterly* 89 (2018): 2, accessed 5 August 2019, https://ufdc.ufl.edu/AA00061587/00089.

13. Valery Gerasimov, "The 'Gerasimov Doctrine' and Russian Non-Linear War," *In Moscow's Shadows* (blog), 6 July 2014, accessed 31 July 2019, <u>https://inmoscowsshadows.wordpress.com/2014/07/06/the-</u> gerasimov-doctrine-and-russian-non-linear-war/.

14. Azhar Unwala and Shaheen Ghori, "Brandishing the Cybered Bear: Information War and the Russia-Ukraine Conflict," *The Journal of the Military Cyber Professionals Association* 1, no. 1 (2015): 1, accessed 31 July 2019, <u>https://scholarcommons.usf.edu/cgi/viewcontent.</u> cgi?article=1001&context=mca.

15. "Little Green Men": A Primer on Modern Russian Unconventional Warfare, Ukraine 2013-2014 (Fort Bragg, NC: U.S. Army Special Operations Command, n.d.), 51, accessed 31 July 2019, <u>https://per-</u> <u>manent.access.gpo.gov/gpo107669/14-02984_LittleGreenMen-UN-</u> CLASS-hi-res.pdf.

16. Joseph L. Cox, Information Operations in Operations Enduring Freedom and Iraqi Freedom - What Went Wrong? (Fort Leavenworth, KS: United States Army Command and General Staff College, 2006), iii, accessed 31 July 2019, <u>https://fas.org/irp/eprint/cox.pdf</u>.

17. Walter E. Richter, "The Future of Information Operations," *Military Review* 89, no. 1 (January-February 2009): 106–7, accessed 31 July 2019, <u>https://www.armyupress.army.mil/Portals/7/military-review/Archives/En-</u> glish/MilitaryReview_20090228_art001.pdf.

18. lbid., 107.

19. Arturo Muñoz, "U.S. Military Information Operations in Afghanistan: Effectiveness of Psychological Operations 2001–2010" (Santa Monica, CA: RAND Corporation, 2012), xx, accessed 23 September 2019, https://www.rand.org/pubs/monographs/MG1060.html.

20. Arturo Muñoz and Erin Dick, "Information Operations: The Imperative of Doctrine Harmonization and Measures of Effectiveness (Santa Monica, CA: RAND Corporation, 2015), 3, accessed 23 September 2019, <u>https://www.rand.org/content/dam/rand/pubs/perspectives/</u> PE100/PE128/RAND_PE128.pdf.

21. Ibid., 5-2; ADP 5-0, The Operations Process, 4-5.

22. Russell F. Weigley, *The American Way of War: A History of United States Military Strategy and Policy* (Bloomington, IN: Indiana University Press, 1973), xxii.

23. Antulio J. Echevarria II, *Toward an American Way of War* (Carlisle, PA: Strategic Studies Institute, 2004), 1, accessed 31 July 2019, <u>https://ssi.</u> armywarcollege.edu/pubs/display.cfm?pubID=374.

24. Antulio J. Echevarria II, *Reconsidering the American Way of War: US Military Practice from the Revolution to Afghanistan* (Washington, DC: Georgetown University Press, May 2014), 46.

25. Antulio J. Echevarria II, *Operating in the Gray Zone: An Alternative Paradigm for U.S. Military Strategy* (Carlisle, PA: Strategic Studies Institute, April 2016), xi, accessed 31 July 2019, <u>https://ssi.armywarcollege.edu/</u>pubs/display.cfm?pubID=1318.

26. Ibid., 40.

27. Charles T. Cleveland, Shaw S. Pick, and Stuart L. Farris, "Shedding Light on the Gray Zone: A New Approach to Human-Centric Warfare," Association of the United States Army, 17 August 2015, accessed 31 July 2019, <u>https://www.ausa.org/articles/</u> shedding-light-gray-zone-new-approach-human-centric-warfare.

28. Ibid., xii.

29. Echevarria, Operating in the Gray Zone, 41.

30. Mao Tse-tung, "On Correcting Mistaken Ideas in the Party," in Selected Works of Mao Tse-tung, vol. 1 (Beijing: Foreign Languages Press, 1965), accessed 31 July 2019, <u>https://www.marxists.org/reference/archive/</u> mao/selected-works/volume-1/mswv1_5.htm#s1.

31. Sun Tzu, *The Art of Wa*r, trans. Lionel Giles, Project Gutenberg, updated 14 January 2012, accessed 24 September 2019, <u>http://brainab.</u>com/site_images/files_books/war_sun.pdf.

32. Larry M. Wortzel, *The Chinese People's Liberation Army and Information Warfare* (Carlisle, PA: Strategic Studies Institute, 2014), 29, accessed 31 July 2019, <u>https://publications.armywarcollege.edu/</u>pubs/2263.pdf.

33. Catherine A. Theohary, *Information Warfare: Issues for Congress*, Congressional Research Service (CRS) Report No. R45142 (Washington, DC: CRS, 5 March 2018), 11, accessed 31 July 2019, <u>https://fas.org/sgp/</u> crs/natsec/R45142.pdf.

34. Ibid.

35. FM 3-13, Information Operations, iv; Army Techniques Publication (ATP) 3-13.1, The Conduct of Information Operations (Washington, DC: U.S. GPO, 4 October 2018), v, accessed 31 July 2019, <u>https://armypubs.army.mil/epubs/DR_pubs/DR_a/pdf/web/ARN13138_ATP%20</u> 3-13x1%20FINAL%20Web%201.pdf.

36. Scott Thompson and Christopher Paul, "Paradigm Change: Operational Art and the Information Joint Function," *Joint Force Quarterly* 89 (2nd Quarter, 2018): 11.

37. Secretary of Defense Memorandum, "Information as a Joint Function," 15 September 2017, accessed 31 July 2019, <u>https://www.rmda.</u> <u>army.mil/records-management/docs/SECDEF-Endorsement_Informa-</u> tion_Joint%20Function_Clean.pdf.

38. Ben Sohl, "Influence Campaigns and the Future of International Competition," The Strategy Bridge, 12 September 2017, accessed 31 July 2019, <u>https://thestrategybridge.org/the-bridge/2017/9/12/influence-cam-</u> paigns-and-the-future-of-international-competition?rq=Gerasimov.

39. Nick Brunetti-Lihach, "Information Warfare Past, Present, and Future," The Strategy Bridge, 14 November 2018, accessed 31 July 2019, <u>https://thestrategybridge.org/the-bridge/2018/11/14/informa-</u> tion-warfare-past-present-and-future.

Next page: Chinese Defense Minister Wei Fenghe salutes 21 October 2019 after delivering his opening speech for the Xiangshan Forum, a gathering of the region's security officials, in Beijing. Wei issued a stinging rebuke of the United States at the defense forum, saying China was not fazed by sanctions, pressure, and military intimidation. (Photo by Andy Wong, Associated Press)

Millitary Review CALL FOR PAPERS "The China-Taiwan Reunification Conundrum"

The Peoples' Republic of China has made it clear that it intends to annex Taiwan, and, if necessary, will seize the island by force. *Military Review* is soliciting articles regarding this potentially volatile situation between China, Taiwan, and the international community, and how the United States should respond. Papers could address, but are not limited to, the following topics:

- What domestic and international conditions must emerge to present China with its best opportunity to attempt a forced annexation of Taiwan? What other impetus might trigger such an attempt?
- How has China been preparing diplomatically, informationally, and economically to foster the conditions for such an attempt?

- How might the conflict unfold? What kind of forces would China likely use and where? How long would China calculate the conflict lasting?
- What would China need to accomplish annexation without letting the situation expand into a larger conflict?
- What is the likelihood of the United States and Taiwan's few global allies coming to Taiwan's assistance?

Accepted papers will either be published immediately as a *Military Review* online exclusive article or in a future 2020 edition of *Military Review*. For information regarding submission criteria and guidelines, please visit <u>https://www.armyupress.</u> army.mil/Journals/Military-Review/MR-Article-Submission-Guide/.

Call for papers submission deadline is 4 May 2020

No one and no force will be able to stop the course" of China's annexation of Taiwan, Wei said at the security conference in Beijing, which featured a theme this year of "Maintaining International Order and Promoting Peace in the Asia-Pacific" [said, Wei Fenghe]. China "will never allow the separatists for Taiwan independence to take their chances or any external forces to interfere into the Taiwan affairs," he added. "Reunification of the motherland is a justified course and separatist activities are doomed to failure.

> Chinese Defense Minister Wei Fenghe, The 9th Beijing Xiangshan Forum, 21 October 2019

第九届北京香山论坛