

Foreword

The core of the Army profession is our ethic. The Army ethic, however, is paradoxical. It must be sufficiently foundational to anchor the Army culture across time, yet adaptive enough to respond to changing environments. The Army has been in transition since 2001, and General Dempsey has recently noted that it is important that Soldiers and leaders refine their understanding of what it means to be professionals—expert members of the profession of arms—after nine years of war and to recommit to a culture of service and to remember the responsibilities and behaviors of our profession as articulated in the Army Ethic. He has asked CAC to lead this important discussion for the Army.

To help inspire the needed discussion about our Army profession and its ethic, the Center for the Army Profession and Ethic (CAPE) and the *Military Review* have partnered to offer this anthology of recent writings. This collection is no mere academic exercise. Only through knowledge can we improve ourselves as an organization, and Army-wide efforts to reinforce our ethic must begin with senior leaders. The authors of these articles have thought long and hard about what they have to say. Their experiences, their educations, and, in many cases, their lifelong work validates the observations they make. Some have won awards for what they express here. The contributing thinkers, including officers and Soldiers in the field, have experienced and examined the Army's ethical successes, as well as failures and contradictions, and have highlighted some institutional shortcomings that we, as a profession, must address. As the leaders of our profession, we have the undeniable duty to come to grips with these issues, weighing them, and giving them their due with energized and renewed examination.

General Casey has recently challenged us to answer two questions: What does it mean for the Army to be a Profession of Arms, and what does it mean to be a professional Soldier after nine years of war? Answering these questions will require that we look at not just our expertise as a profession, but the nature and character of our Army ethic. We will need to work together to better articulate what makes up our ethic and how it is reinforced so that we can lead the organizational change required to reinforce our profession during this time of persistent conflict. We need to discuss both the nature and character of our ethic and what it means to be an Army professional Soldier of character. One place to start is here.

Ultimately, Army leaders at all levels have to be able to express the moral traditions of the profession of arms and of warfare and how, as a foundational bedrock, those traditions connect to the superstructure of the Army profession. Being able to recite rules of engagement or the law of land warfare is not good enough for Army professionals who must apply discretionary judgments in complex situations. Thus, our professional military ethic derives from a second paradox: the obligation to win wars through the use of proportional force while at the same time minimizing the suffering and destruction associated with warfare. This collection of writings is a good start on achieving the understanding we need to begin a robust dialogue on these topics. That is our aim here.

Lieutenant General, USA

Commanding

Army Strong!



MilitaryReview

Lieutenant General Robert L. Caslen, Jr.

Commander, USACAC Commandant, CGSC

Brigadier General Sean B. MacFarland

Deputy Commandant, CGSC

COL John J. Smith

Director and Editor in Chief

Military Review Staff

LTC Gary Dangerfield Deputy Director

MAJ Sharon L. Russ

Executive Officer and

Marketing/Production Manager

Marlys Cook, LTC, USA (Ret.) Managing Editor

Peter D. Fromm, LTC, USA (Ret.)

Supervisory Editor

John Garabedian

Associate Editor

Nancy Mazzia Books and Features Editor

MAJ Kevin Cutright

Assistant Editor

Julie Gunter

Visual Information Specialist

Linda Darnell

Administrative Assistant

Michael Serrayo

Visual Information Specialist, Webmaster

Center for the Army **Profession and Ethic**

COL Sean Hannah

Director

David Rasmussen, LTC, USA (Ret.)

Deputy Director

Dr. Don Snider.

Senior Fellow

Jeremy Zifchock, Operations

Chuck Grenchus,

Plans

Cheryl Rau

LTC Mark Fairbrother

Wanda Majorsr William Kuiper

Margaret Gibson

Instructional Programs and

Products Division

Dr. Gina Eckles

Mr. Charles Grenchus Mr. Jeremy Zifchock

Doctrine, Futures, and Concepts Division

Dr. Keith Leavitt Dr. Pauline Schilpzand Dr. Chris Barnes

Research Division

FEATURED ARTICLES

3 Owning Our Army Ethic

Major Chris Case, U.S. Army; Major Bob Underwood, U.S. Army; and Colonel Sean T. Hannah, Ph.D., U.S.

The Army's ethic must reconcile possible tensions between action and duty by providing guidance for both why we fight and how we should fight.

11 On the Road to Articulating Our Professional Ethic

Lieutenant Colonel Brian Imiola, Ph.D., U.S. Army and Major Danny Cazier, U.S. Army Any exploration of a serviceable professional ethic must take into account the objectivity of our moral tradition.

Warriors, the Army Ethos, and the Sacred Trust of Soldiers 19

Lieutenant Colonel Peter D. Fromm, U.S. Army, Retired

We expect American Soldiers to be much more than what the term "warrior" suggests.

27 Discipline, Punishment, and Counterinsurgency

Scott Andrew Ewing

Vague regulations encourage NCOs to disguise arbitrary punishments as extra training. This ubiquitous practice may be contributing to abuse of civilians during operations. Originally published in the September-October 2008 edition of MR.

38 Competency vs. Character? It Must Be Both!

Lieutenant Colonel Joe Doty, Ph.D., U.S. Army and Major Walter Sowden, U.S. Army The Army should abolish stand-alone ethical or character development training and embed it into all its training and education experiences.

Originally published in the November-December 2009 edition of MR.

46 Moral Disengagements: When Will Good Soldiers do Bad Things?

Christopher M. Barnes, Ph.D., and Keith Leavitt, Ph.D.

When Soldiers avoid applying an ethical framework to a situation, they rationalize their conduct as a moral choice.

52 The Inclination for War Crimes

Lieutenant Colonel Robert Rielly, U.S. Army, Retired

An Army inquiry into the My Lai Massacre 36 years ago provides today's leaders with ways to determine if units are tempted to commit war crimes.

Originally published in the May-June 2009 edition of MR.

59 The Embedded Morality in FM 3-24 Counterinsurgency

Lieutenant Colonel Celestino Perez, Jr., Ph.D., U.S. Army Army doctrine tells us to respect the other's dignity and, hence, the other's life. Originally published in the May-June 2009 edition of MR.

Cover Art: Vercingetorix Throws Down his Arms at the Feet of Julius Caesar (1899), Lionel-Noël Royer; Crozatier Museum at Le Puy-en-Velay, France.

Headquarters, Department of the Army
U.S. Army Combined Arms Center
Fort Leavenworth, Kansas
Special Edition — September 2010

http://militaryreview.army.mil email: leav-milrevweb@conus.army.mil Professional Bulletin 100-10-SE



Military Review is a refereed journal that provides a forum for original thought and debate on the art and science of land warfare and other issues of current interest to the U.S. Army and the Department of Defense. Military Review also supports the education, training, doctrine development, and integration missions of the Combined Arms Center, Fort Leavenworth, Kansas.

68 Legitimacy and Military Operations

Lieutenant Colonel James W. Hammond, Canadian Forces

In America's rush to war, it forgot that legitimacy, whether real or perceived, is paramount. The author argues that to achieve success, the U.S. must conduct all military operations with legitimacy in mind.

Originally published in the July-August 2008 edition of MR.

80 The Need for Discretion in Resilient Soldiering

Lieutenant Colonel (Chaplain) Robert Roetzel, U.S. Army

While Soldiers understand that discretion is essential in applying deadly force, employing it is far more difficult than most imagine.

86 Automatic Ethics: What We Take for Granted Matters

Keith Leavitt, Ph.D., and Major Walter J. Sowden, U.S. Army

Recent behavioral research suggests that many of our automatic moral assumptions might be inaccurate and possibly even harmful.

90 What Does Contemporary Science Say About Ethical Leadership?

Christopher M. Barnes, Ph.D., and Lieutenant Colonel Joe Doty, Ph.D., U.S. Army Ethical leadership requires emphasizing the importance and significance of ethics.

94 At What Cost, Intelligence? A Case Study of the Consequences of Ethical (and Unethical) Leadership

Major Douglas A. Pryer, U.S. Army

The "intelligence at any cost" mind-set led some in our Army in Iraq to systemically violate the laws of war. We must prevent its recurrence.

Originally published in the May-June 2010 edition of MR.

110 Reconnecting With Our Roots: Reflections on the Army's Ethic

Lieutenant General Robert L. Caslen, Jr., U.S. Army, and Lieutenant Colonel Erik Anderson, U.S. Army
The authors ask if we are a better Army today than we were nine years ago and suggest that now is as good a time as any to reflect on the Profession of Arms.

BOOK REVIEW ESSAY

117 Black Hearts: A Study in Leadership

Lieutenant Colonel Paul Christopher, Ph.D., U.S. Army, Retired

At the vortex of Jim Frederick's Black Hearts: One Platoon's Decent into Madness in Iraq's Triangle of Death (Harmony Books, New York, 2009) is a gripping account of a single incident involving some of the most despicable actions by U.S. Soldiers since the My Lai Massacre in Vietnam.

Originally published in the May-June 2010 edition of MR.

The Secretary of the Army has determined that the publication of this periodical is necessary in the transaction of the public business as required by law of the department. Funds for printing this publication were approved by the Secretary of the Army in accordance with the provisions of Army Regulation 25-30.

George W. Casey, Jr. General, United States Army Chief of Staff

Official

JOYCE E. MORROW Administrative Assistant to the Secretary of the Army 0988604 Military Review presents professional information, but the views expressed herein are those of the authors, not the Department of Defense or its elements. The content does not necessarily reflect the official U.S. Army position and does not change or supersede any information in other official U.S. Army publications. Authors are responsible for the accuracy and source documentation of material they provide. Military Review reserves the right to edit material. Basis of official distribution is one per 10 officers for major commands, corps, divisions, major staff agencies, garrison commands, Army schools, Reserve commands, and Cadet Command organizations; one per 25 officers for medical commands, hospitals, and units; and one per five officers for Active and Reserve brigades and battalions, based on assigned field grade officer strength. Military Review is available online at http://militaryreview.army.mil.

Military Review (US ISSN 0026-4148) (USPS 123-830) is published bimonthly by the U.S. Army, CAC, Fort Leavenworth, KS 66027-1254. Paid subscriptions are available through the Superintendent of Documents for \$42 US/APO/FPO and \$58.80 foreign addresses per year. Periodical postage paid at Leavenworth, KS, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: Send address changes to Military Review, CAC, 294 Grant Avenue, Fort Leavenworth, KS 66027-1254.